



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No.

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 828  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48303

**COPY MAILED**

**JUL 02 2008**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

|                                |   |                      |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| In re Application of           | : |                      |
| Hitoshi Fukushima et al.       | : |                      |
| Application No. 10/006,794     | : | DECISION ON RENEWED  |
| Patent No. 7,098,145           | : | PETITION PURSUANT TO |
| Filed: December 4, 2001        | : | 37 C.F.R. § 3.81(B)  |
| Issue Date: August 29, 2006    | : |                      |
| Attorney Docket Number: 9319S- | : |                      |
| 000311/CPA                     | : |                      |
| Title: FABRICATION OF SELF-    | : |                      |
| ASSEMBLED MONOLAYERS           | : |                      |

This is a decision on the "Second PETITION TO STATE THE NAME OF SECOND ASSIGNEE ON ISSUED PATENT," filed July 1, 2008, which is properly treated as a renewed petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 3.81(b)<sup>1</sup>, to correct the Assignee's information on the Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85(b).

This renewed petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that an error appears on the face of the patent, as the Assignee (Cambridge University Technical Services Limited of the U.K.) was omitted.

An original petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 3.81(b) was filed on July 20, 2007, and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on June 18, 2008.

37 C.F.R. § 3.81(b), effective June 25, 2004, reads:

<sup>1</sup> See Official Gazette, June 22, 2004.

(b) After payment of the issue fee: Any request for issuance of an application in the name of the assignee submitted after the date of payment of the issue fee, and any request for a patent to be corrected to state the name of the assignee, must state that the assignment was submitted for recordation as set forth in 3.11 before issuance of the patent, and must include a request for a certificate of correction under 1.323 of this chapter (accompanied by the fee set forth in 1.20(a)) and the processing fee set forth in 1.17(i) of this chapter.

Office records show that the assignment of the above-identified application to this assignee was submitted for recording in the Office on July 24, 2002 (Reel/Frame 013103 / 0007). This was prior to the issuance of the present patent on August 29, 2006.

The original request pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 3.81(b) was accompanied by a request for a certificate of correction, a draft certificate of correction, the processing fee, and the certificate of correction fee, as required by Rule § 3.81(b). Accordingly, as the request complies with the provisions of Rule § 3.81(b), it would be appropriate for a certificate of correction to be processed after issuance of this application into a patent.

It is noted that the assignment document itself contains the names of both assignees.

The Certificates of Correction Branch will be notified of this decision so that the requested Certificate of Correction can be issued.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225<sup>2</sup>. Any questions concerning the issuance of a certificate of correction should be directed to the Certificates of Correction Branch at (703) 305-8309.

/Paul Shanoski/  
Paul Shanoski  
Senior Attorney  
Office of Petitions

---

<sup>2</sup> Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.